Journal of Fluorine Chemistry, 23 (1983) 115-122

Received: November 15, 1982; accepted: November 30, 1982

VIBRATIONAL ASSIGNMENT AND NORMAL COORDINATE ANALYSIS OF $[MOF_5]^{2-}$ (M = Nb, Ta) IN THE MODIFIED UREY-BRADLEY FORCE FIELD

L. SURENDRA, D.N. SATHYANARAYANA^{*} and G.V. JERE Chemistry Department, Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi 110 016 (India)

SUMMARY

The force constants of $[NbOF_5]^{2-}$ and $[TaOF_5]^{2-}$ have been calculated using Wilson's FG matrix method. Previously reported vibrational frequency data have been used. A modified Urey-Bradley force field has been employed for the calculations.

With the initial set of values transferred from related force fields the results demonstrate the localised nature of the vibrations and the easy transferability of Urey-Bradley force constants The assignments are generally consistent with previous empirical assignments.

INTRODUCTION

Owing to their applications as solid state materials (1), the spectroscopic and structural studies on oxofluoro metallates and oxofluorides have received impetus in recent years. Though the vibrational spectra of several oxofluoro complexes have been reported (2-9), complete normal coordinate analyses have been carried out for very few systems (4,5). In this context, it was

^{*} Department of Inorganic and Physical Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India.

of interest to perform the normal coordinate calculations for Rb_2NbOF_5 and Cs_2TaOF_5 and compare the results with those of related systems to note the consistency in the force constants and assignments obtained. A modified Urey-Bradley force field has been employed. The vibrational spectra have been reported by Pausewang <u>et al.</u> (6) who have also proposed assignments of some bands empirically. These assignments have been compared with those in other related systems by Sawodny et al. (7).

NORMAL COORDINATE CALCULATIONS

The calculations were set in terms of the FG matrix method (10) using programs similar to those reported by Schachtschneider (11). The X-ray data (12) shows that the ion $[NbOF_5]^{2-}$ has a C_{4v} symmetry. The 15 fundamental vibrations are classified as $4A_1 + 2B_1 + B_2 + 4E$, all of which are Raman active. Only the A_1 and E modes are infrared active. The structural parameters (12) used are $-Nb - F_{eq} = 0.184 \text{ nm}, Nb - F'_{ax} = 0.206 \text{ nm}$ and Nb - 0 = 0.168 nm. The interbond angles are 90° . The molecular structure and internal coordinates are depicted in Fig. 1. The internal symmetry coordinates employed were similar to those reported by Shimanouchi and Nakagawa (13).

A modified Urey-Bradley force field was employed using an initial set of force constants transferred from the force fields of $[NbF_6]^-(14)$ and $[UO_2F_5]^{3-}(15)$. The bending force constant H(FNbF), the nonbonded repulsion terms (F---F) as well as the valence force constant f(Nb-F, Nb-F) for the diagonally opposite bonds r_1 , r_3 and r_2 , r_4 (Fig. 1) were taken from the force field of $[NbF_6]^-$ (14). The repulsion term F(F---F') was taken slightly lower than F(F=-F) based on their distances. The term H(FNbO) was taken from the force field of $[UO_2F_5]^{3-}$ ion (15). The values of the force constants were refined in the usual manner iteratively. The coordinate calculations were extended to $[TaOF_5]^{2-}$ ion transferring the force constants from the force field of $[NbOF_5]^{2-}$. They needed only minor adjustments to give a desired agreement between the calculated and observed frequencies. The vibrational spectra reported by Pausewang (6) has been used for the calculations.



Fig. 1. Structure and representative internal coordinates of the $[MOF_5]^{2-}$ ions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

<u>Force constants</u> The final set of force constants for $[NbOF_5]^{2-}$ and $[TaOF_5]^{2-}$ are shown in Table 1. These values seem reasonable and are similar to the initial ones indicating the good transferability of the Urey-Bradley type force constants for this class of compounds. 118

Modified Urey-Bradley force constants (mdyn. A^{-1}) for Rb₂NbOF₅ and Cs₂TaOF₅

	Value					
Force constant	Rb2NbOF5	Cs2TaOF5				
К(M—F)	2,15	2.40				
K(M_O)	6,50	6.70				
K(M-F')	1.05	1.15				
h(FMF)	-0.02	0.03				
H(OMF)	0.20	0.20				
H(F'MF)	-0.02	0.03				
F(FF)	0.46	0.46				
F(OF)	0.12	0.10				
F(F'F)	0.38	0.35				
∫(M—F, M—F)*	0.44	0.44				

* Between the diagonally opposite ones in the equatorial plane.

The value of M-F (M = Nb, Ta). stretching force constants is considerably lower than those observed in hexafluoro metallates (14 This trend is due to the presence of the strong metal-oxygen multiple bond which weakens the M-F bonds (15). The value of Ta-F stretching force constants are slightly higher than the corresponding Nb-F force constants. Such an increase has been noted for the analogous hexafluoro metallates (14).

Spectral interpretation The frequencies of the observed Raman and infrared bands of $Rb_{2}NbOF_{5}$ and $Cs_{2}TaOF_{5}$ are listed in Tables 2 and 3 together with the calculated vibrational frequencies and the potential energy distribution amongst the symmetry coordinates. Most of the fundamental frequencies may be described as pure group vibrations. Some deviation is noted in the calculated values of v_h for both $[NbOF_5]^2$ and $[TaOF_5]^2$ ions. Such deviations are also noted in structurally similar species (16,17).

TABLE 2.

 v_{10}

V11

Assignment (P.E.D., %) Species Observed Calculated R IR ∨ Nb**⇔O (**99) ٧1 917 912 Α, 918 \vee Nb-F (97) 586 581 584 12 410 73 $\vee Nb - F'$ (90) 413 399 266 260 ν_4 266 SFNb0 (84) 237 v Nb-F (100) 492 В**1** ν_5 δFNbO (100) 200 V 6 290 278 SFNbF (100) ^B2 v_7 289 289 \vee Nb-F (98) Ε v_8 536 534 310 303 5 FNb0 (81) 308 Vg 310 253

242

217

210

§ FNbF (100)

δ FNb0 (75)

Observed and calculated frequencies (cm⁻¹) for Rb₂NbOF₅ and their assignment

TABLE 3.

Species		Observed		Calculated	Assignment (P.E.D., %)
		R	IR		
A 1	[∼] 1	898	896	890	√Ta=0 (100)
	[~] 2	595	590	600	∨ Ta-F (92)
	V ₃	-	415	415	\sim Ta-F' (92)
	$^{\gamma}4$	258	26 1 256	225	§ FTaO (85)
^B 1	$^{\gamma}$ 5		-	512	√ Ta-F (100)
	ν ₆		-	206	§ FTaO (99)
^B 2	$\sqrt[3]{7}$	-	-	318	§ FTaF (100)
E	·v 8	-	520	523	\sim Ta-F (99)
	$^{\nu}$ 9	310	308	305	{ FTaO (90)
	[√] 10	-	245	247	§ FTaF (100)
	^v 11	205	209	224	δ FTaO (99)

Observed and calculated frequencies (cm⁻¹) for Cs_2TaOF_5 and their assignment

Sawodny and coworkers (7,8) have carried out partial normal coordinate analyses restricted to the stretching vibrations, using valence force functions for $[MOF_5]^{X-}$ where M = Re, Mo, W. The present assignments for $[MOF_5]^{2-}$ and $[TaOF_5]^{2-}$ are compared with those for similar systems (Table 4). It is satisfactory that most of the assignments for $[MbOF_5]^{2-}$ and $[TaOF_5]^{2-}$ are compatible with the general features of the spectra of oxofluoro metallates and that on the whole, there is consistency in the assignments. The low frequency Raman bands at 162 cm⁻¹ and 132 cm⁻¹ for Cs_2NbOF_5 have been previously assigned to \vee_6 and \vee_{11} fundamentals.

TABLE 4.

Vibrat- ional mode	$CsMoOF_5(7)$		CsWOF ₅ (7)		CsReOF ₅ (8)		Rb2NbOF5		Cs ₂ 1	Cs ₂ TaOF ₅	
	IR	R	IR	R	IR	R	IR	R	IR	R	
$^{v}1$	973	973	98 7	989	1002	1005	917	918	896	898	
v 2	662	666	686	689	662	667	58 1	586	590	595	
ν ₃	492	-	507	-	535	-	410 399	-	415	-	
ν_4	300	-	286	287	281		266	266 260	26 1 256	258	
∨ ₅	-	580	-	594	-	588	-	-	-	-	
v 6	-	-	-	-	-	176	-	-	-	-	
$^{v}_{7}$	-	-	-	-	-	-	290 278	289	-	-	
∛ 8	605	-	608	-	615	6 1 4	536	~	520	-	
v 9	-	324	329	331	316	324	310 303	310	308	310	
ν ₁₀	252	-	242	-	263	-	253 247	-	245	-	
∿ ₁₁	-	-	-	-	-	248	210		209	205	

Comparison of the assignment made for Rb_2NbOF_5 and Cs_2TaOF_5 with those of related compounds

However, they need to be reassigned to lattice modes. The frequencies corresponding to \vee_6 and \vee_{11} are now calculated at 200 cm⁻¹ and 217 cm⁻¹ respectively, which corresponds to the ir absorption at 210 cm⁻¹.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

One of the authors (L.S.) is grateful to Prof. C.C. Patel and the IISc. Bangalore for assistance and to the CSIR and NCERT for fellowships.

REFERENCES

1	A. Simon and J. Ravez, Ferroelectrics <u>24</u> (1980) 305
2	0. Keller, Jr., Inorg. Chem. <u>2</u> (1963) 783.
3	G. Pausewang and W. Rudorff, Z. anorg. allg. Chem. 364 (1969) 69.
4	S.P. So, Z. Phys. Chem. <u>109</u> (1978) 157.
5.	P. Joubert, R. Bougon, B. Gaudreau, Can. J. Chem. <u>56</u> (1978) 1874.
6	G. Pausewang, R. Schmitt and K. Dehnicke, Z. anorg. allg. Chem. <u>408</u> (1974) 1.
7	B. Beuter and W. Sawodny, Z. anorg. allg. Chem. <u>427</u> (1976) 37.
8	W. Kuhlmann and W. Sawodny, J. Fluorine Chem. <u>9</u> (1977) 341.
9	J.H. Holloway, H. Selig and H.H. Claassen, J. Chem. Phys. 54 (1971) 4305.
10	E.B. Wilson, Jr., J.C. Decius and P.C. Cross, 'Molecular Vibrations', McGraw Hill (1955).
11	J.H. Schachtschneider, Technical Report, 231-64, Shell Development Co., Emeryville, CA, USA (1964).
12	Yu.E. Gorbunova, V.I. Pakhomov, V.G. Kuznetsov and E.S. Kovaleva, J. Struct. Chem. <u>13</u> (1972) 154.
13	T. Shimanouchi and I. Nakagawa, Spectrochem. Acta <u>18</u> (1962) 89.
14	P. Labonville, J.R. Ferraro, M.C. Wall and L.J. Basile, Coord. Chem. Rev. <u>7</u> (1972) 257.
15	K. Ohwada, Spectrochim. Acta <u>35A</u> (1979) 99.
16	K. Ohwada, Appl. Spectrosc. <u>34</u> (1980) 327.
17	S.P. So, K.K. Li and L.K. Hung, Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. <u>87</u> (1978) 411.

122